

Serial No.: 10/552,107  
Case No.: MS0038Y  
Page 7

### REMARKS

The Official Action August 11, 2008, and the references cited therein have been carefully considered. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks. The claims have been amended to be directed to the elected invention. Due to extensive amendments in the claims, for convenience and accuracy in entering the amendment, Claims 1-14 have been canceled in their entirety and rewritten as new Claims 21-32. Claims 15-20 have been canceled without prejudice.

Claims 21-32 are pending in the application.

#### 1. Restriction Requirement

Under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372, the Examiner previously required restriction between Group I and Group II.

Applicants affirm their election under 35 U.S.C. 121 of Group I (Claims 1-14) drawn to a compound of the Formula I, where X is pyridyl and Y is aryl, and pharmaceutical compositions thereof.

In the interest of compact prosecution, Applicants affirm their election of Group I and have amended the claims to be directed to the elected invention. This election is being taken without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application directed to the non-elected subject matter. In accordance with the third sentence of 35 U.S.C. § 121, a patent issuing from the instant application should not be a reference against a divisional application filed before the issuance of such patent.

#### 2. Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants gratefully acknowledge that the prior art does not teach or make obvious the claimed subject matter. Applicants also gratefully acknowledge that Claim 1 stands objected to as containing nonelected subject matter and would appear allowable, and that Claims 2,3 and 7-14 would also appear allowable if similarly limited to the elected invention.

Serial No.: 10/552,107  
Case No.: MS0038Y  
Page 8

Because the claims have been amended to be directed to the elected invention,  
Applicants respectfully submit that such claims should be allowable.

Applicants respectfully contend that the application is allowable and a favorable  
response from the Examiner is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

J. Eric Thies  
Reg. No. 35,382  
Attorney for Applicant  
MERCK & CO., Inc.  
P.O. Box 2000  
Rahway, New Jersey 07065-0907  
(732) 594-3904

Date: November 11, 2008